

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	'ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/773,669	02/06/2004	Steffen Dubnack	GK-ZEI-3226/500343.20238	7534		
²⁶⁴¹⁸ REED SMITH,	7590 07/24/200 LLP	7	EXAM	EXAMINER		
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR			APANIUS, MICHAEL			
	NY 10022-7650.	LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
· .			3736			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
	•					
			07/24/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/773,669	DUBNACK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Apanius	3736	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DA	YS			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	<u> April 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINA L. 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.		•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3 Copies of the certified copies of the pri			9			
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.				
	•					
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/27/2007 has been entered. The amendment to claim 1 is acknowledged.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are generally indefinite. Some particular examples are listed below. However, the list may not be exhaustive.

Art Unit: 3736

5. At claim 1, line 3, "the diseased change" lacks proper antecedent basis in the claim. Furthermore, the scope of "diseased change" is unclear. At claim 1, line 7, "the latter" should be replaced by specific language referring to the intended element. Furthermore, the meaning and scope of "tissue selection" and "selecting ... the pathologically changed tissue" is unclear in the context of the claims. At claim 1, lines ... 10-14, it is unclear if the wherein clause is defining further steps or is defining when "selecting" and/or "removing" (line 10) is carried out. At claim 1, lines 13-14, "carrying out the corresponding to a surgical treatment" is not clearly stated. At claim 3, line 4, the relationship of "and/or" to the claim limitations is unclear. Claim 3 does not particularly point out which limitations are in the alternative.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eggers et al. (US 5,630,426). Eggers discloses a method for tissue-selective treatment in surgery comprising the steps of:

positioning a probe (20) in the area of diseased change after placing on the body organ or body tissue to be treated (column 5, lines 41-50);

Art Unit: 3736

activating tissue selection in that different electrical and/or electromagnetic stimulus signals which can be preadjusted or modulated are sent to the tissue in order to stimulate the latter (column 5, lines 51-60);

parts by evaluating the responses to the stimuli (column 6, lines 46-49); and selecting the pathologically changed tissue for treatment;

wherein, in the case of healthy tissue, the probe is repositioned and tissue selection is reactivated, and in the case where pathologically altered tissue is identified, treatment is carried out (paragraph bridging columns 10 and 11).

- 8. In regards to claim 2, the stimulus response is carried out by iterative transmission of stimulus signals (paragraph bridging columns 10 and 11).
- 9. In regards to claim 3, a direct online tissue selection is carried out by alternating treatment and positioning with tissue selection and immediate evaluation of the stimulus responses (paragraph bridging columns 10 and 11) and the user is warned during treatment of critical tissue regions (via display 45).

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to the previous prior art rejections have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3736

Conclusion

- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Apanius whose telephone number is (571) 272-5537. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8am-4:30pm.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MA

CONTRACTOR DENBURGED OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR